

## OPINION SUMMARY

### MISSOURI COURT OF APPEALS EASTERN DISTRICT

JEAN-ROBERT NAST by and through	)	ED103102
his guardian JAN FREEMAN,	)	
	)	
Plaintiff/Respondent,	)	Appeal from the Circuit Court
	)	of the City of St. Louis
vs.	)	
	)	Honorable Michael F. Stelzer
GATEWAY AMBULANCE	)	
SERVICE, LLC, et al.,	)	
	)	
Defendants/Respondents.	)	
	)	
CERTAIN UNDERWRITERS AT	)	
LLOYD'S LONDON, et al.,	)	
	)	
Proposed Intervenor/Appellant.	)	FILED: April 26, 2016

Certain Underwriters at Lloyd's, London, Beazley Underwriting, Ltd. ("Appellants") appeal from the trial court's denial of Appellant's oral motion to intervene and the trial court's denial of Appellant's Renewed Motion to Intervene and Motion to Set Aside Judgment and Motion for New Trial. Because there is no final appealable judgment to review, we dismiss the appeal.

DISMISSED

Division One Holds: The trial court orally denied Appellant's oral motion to intervene in the action. This denial was never reduced to writing, signed by the judge, denominated a "judgment," or filed. Thus, the trial court's oral denial cannot be considered a judgment for purposes of appeal. Further, as the trial court denied Appellant's oral Motion to Intervene, Appellant was not a party to the June 9, 2015 Judgment entered by the trial court, and thus Appellant has no standing to appeal that judgment. Finally, this court lacks jurisdiction to review the trial court's August 27, 2015 Order, as the trial court correctly found that it lost jurisdiction to hear the Motion to Intervene thirty days after the judgment. Therefore, we do not have jurisdiction to hear the appeal.

Opinion by: Roy L. Richter, J.

Robert G. Dowd, Jr., P.J., and Mary K. Hoff, J., concur.

Attorney for Appellant: William Ray Price, Jr., Thomas B. Weaver

Attorney for Respondent: Richard C. Wuestling, Thomas E. Fagan, Susan M. Dimond

<p><b>THIS SUMMARY IS NOT PART OF THE OPINION OF THE COURT. IT HAS BEEN PREPARED FOR THE CONVENIENCE OF THE READER AND SHOULD NOT BE QUOTED OR CITED.</b></p>
---